



Verwaltungsgemeinschaft
Freie Stadt Danzig

**Senatspräsident
Beowulf von Prince**
Schweizer Str. 38
AT-6830 Rankweil
prince.beowulf@outlook.de
www.verfassung.info

03.03.2022

Beowulf von Prince, Schweizer Str. 38, AT-6830 Rankweil

To
International Criminal Court
Po Box 19519
2500 CM, The Hague
The Netherlands

Your reference: OTP-CR-309/08

Exhibits 1 Letter to the International Court of Justice dated Feb. 12, 2022
with exhibits: 1.1 Rejection of German nationality, confirmation: "German in the
meaning of Art. 116 GG".
1.2 Official documents UNO
1.3 Copy of Danzig identity card
1.4 Claim for damages Oct. 03, 2020
1.5 Letter to the Federal Administrative Courts
1.6 Letter from Bamberg Higher Regional Court/Bavaria/FRG
dated 17 June 2020
1.7 press release

2 Letter to the International Court of Justice in The Hague dated 21 Jan. 2018 without
exhibits

- 1 Request to be informed whether this Court has jurisdiction or a court of the Free City of Danzig.
The acts concern acts of war after the year 2002. But these acts are directed against the Free City of Danzig. The nationals of the German Reich reject the realization of the 2 + 4 Treaty of 1990. Thus they declare to continue the world war. Therefore, the question arises whether this Court has jurisdiction - see the attached letter to the International Court of Justice in The Hague.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Preface.....	3
II. Indictment.....	6
A. Facts.....	7
1. Germany.....	7
1.1 Mass trials involving allegations of document forgery.....	7
1.2 Indictment in violation of the ECE.....	8
1.3 Rejection of extended extradition request.....	8
1.4 False accusations and 20 acquittals in the case.....	8
1.5 German judgment is a forgery of documents.....	9
1.5.1 because of missing signatures of judges involved in the judgment.....	9
1.5.2 because of abolition of separation of powers.....	9
1.5.3 because of unlawful judges.....	9
1.6 Deception in international legal relations by stating a false nationality.....	9
1.7 Tax evasion due to false nationality.....	10
1.8 Dr. Bertram Schmitt is requested to take a stand immediately.....	10
2 Switzerland.....	10
2.1 Joint responsibility for war crimes, deprivation of liberty and unfair judicial proceedings.....	10
2.2 Further facts concerning the Swiss Confederation.....	11
2.2.1 Federal Supreme Court ruling containing false allegations of formally and factually incorrect complaint.....	11
2.2.2 Requests for bias not processed since 2016 and instead being extradited despite prohibition.....	12
2.2.3 Illegal criminal charge of illegal residence.....	12
2.2.4 Street robbery by a police force degraded to employees bound by instructions.....	12
2.2.5 Renewed arrest warrant to extradite the Accuser.....	12
3. Belgium.....	12
4. New trial at the Coburg Regional Court.....	13
5. Lawsuit filed in District Court in Washington, D.C.....	13
6. Submission of a Constitution of the FRG to fulfill the 2+4 Treaty.....	13
7. Criminal accusation " Reich German" because the implementation of the 2+4 Treaty is demanded.....	13
8. The Accuser and Mrs. Leffer as well as more than 100 people are not isolated cases.....	13
9. In order not to forget that the violations of martial law already reported in 2008 and 2010 have not been cured, they are briefly described again.....	16
9.1 Facts.....	16
9.2 Background.....	16
9.3 Accusation of fraud although a building permit had already been issued for the property.....	17
9.4 Falsification of court minutes.....	17
9.5 Criminal accusation of fraud, although a development right for building plot was purchased.....	17
9.6 Judge Bauer's verdict was already clear beforehand.....	18
9.7 Criminal charge of illegal possession of hunting weapons registered for 30 years...	18
9.8 A national of Danzig politically reorganizes the Free State of Danzig for the purpose of peacekeeping.....	18
9.9 Once again, there is imminent danger. Dr. Bertram Schmitt is immidiately available to this Court as a Defendant.....	19

PREFACE

- 2 The Second World War began on Sept. 1, 1939 at 4:45 a.m. on the unarmed State Free City of Danzig, which is under the protection of the League of Nations according to Art. 102 of the Versailles Peace Treaty. On the other hand, every national of Danzig is forbidden to defend himself by force of arms. Even the acceptance of orders is forbidden.
- 3 The Second World War is not over until a peace agreement with the nationals of the Free City of Danzig is realized.
- 4 The nationals of the German Reich have committed themselves to this in the 2 (Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) and the German Democratic Republic (GDR)) + 4 (Powers) Treaty 1990. The nationals of the German Reich have definitely denounced this treaty. They clearly declare to continue the world war and actively do so.
To avoid repetition, reference is made to the enclosed letter to the International Court of Justice in The Hague.
- 5 The question therefore arises as to the jurisdiction of this Court.
The war crimes alleged here are based on acts committed since 2004 - see the criminal complaint already filed, Case No.: **OTP-CR-309/08**.
- 6 However, Dr. Bertram Schmitt is a judge at this Court. He claims to be a national of the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG). In fact, he is a national of the National Socialist German Reich, who rejects the FRG, the nationality of the FRG, the public order of the FRG and the international treaties of the FRG, a Europe of law, freedom and security. He rejects the peace agreement of 1990, the 2 + 4 Treaty and actively participates in war crimes in the meaning of Indictment No. 1, 2 and 3 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials or Article 5 and subsequent statutes of this Court.

He is complicit in the war in Ukraine.

The argument of the President of the Russian Federation that he had to invade Ukraine to protect Ukraine from the Nazis is correct.
The dead in Ukraine are the fault of many. Least of all the Russian Federation.
As in the last century, almost provocatively clear, the Nazis have again seized power from the German State of Bavaria. Again, the Nazis are eliminating any binding legal order from the German State of Bavaria.
The fundamental principle of any legal understanding of good faith is systematically destroyed. If one assumes that the opposite of what is claimed is true, one is usually right. Anyone who demands democracy is portrayed from all sides, politics and the media, as an enemy of democracy, as a Nazi, as a conspiracy theorist. With Bavaria as a pioneer, total despotism was reintroduced.
- 7 Coburg/Bavaria was the first city in which the Nazis seized power. From there, the first cries of the tortured echoed through the streets. Doctors filed complaints. Had these reports been processed, World War II might not have occurred.
- 8 In Coburg in the Bamberg Higher Regional Court District, again, beginning in 2004, all guarantees of the rule of law were first eliminated.
Danzig was the first Nazi military target. In 2013, mass trials were held in Coburg against anyone who possessed a Danzig identity card. The press reported about it. Again, everyone is silent about it.
- 9 Mr. von Prince, together with Mrs. Karin Leffer and others, first founded the League for the Right in order to demand "German" law. This is quite easy to see. Court decisions must

be handed out with the judge's signature and court minutes must reflect the wording of statements. The League for the Right distributed leaflets in Coburg for clarification. The book: "Do Your Duty - Save Your Existence" was published. Finally, the Free City of Danzig was politically reorganized. For this purpose peace agreements were concluded and sent to the United Nations. Thus, the United Nations cannot claim that they did not know that the world war had not ended. Criminal charges were filed in this Court, Case No.: **OTP-CR-309/08**.

Apparently, this Court had better things to do than to worry about falsification of court minutes in the heart of Europe.

Needless to say, numerous courts, including in Switzerland and most recently in Washington D.C., were informed by tireless complaints.

This has led to a reaction in Germany.

But obviously too late and too little.

- 10 Mr. Putin justifies the invasion of the Russian Federation into the Ukraine with the fact that he must protect the Ukrainians against the Nazis. He is absolutely right about that. The EU and NATO are dominated by the Nazis.

If others say that, then they are called enemies of democracy, conspiracy theorists of the Nazis.

But the conspiracy is already proven.

Two suspected Romanian bank robbers, who were to be extradited to Germany, asked the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg (ECJ) through Irish courts whether German prosecutors may issue arrest warrants. The ECJ must rule that German prosecutors are not independent and may not issue arrest warrants. 5'000 arrest warrants had to be reissued. And all the police officers, prosecutors, judges, lawyers and university professors did not know that?

As a result, a judge from the German State of Hesse asked whether he was independent. He thinks that he is appointed and promoted by political officials and therefore judges politically. This inquiry was immediately rejected. The reason given is that as long as he does not receive direct instructions, he is independent. A judge from the German State of Thuringia then asked whether he is independent. He justifies this by saying that the powers are not separate, but intertwined. Not only is he appointed and promoted by political officials, he has already been appointed as a civil servant.

This request, made two years ago, has still not been dealt with by the ECJ.

On the other hand, the Bavarian leader of the Conservative group in the EU Parliament in particular is upset about the Polish judicial reform because the supreme disciplinary chamber in Poland is appointed by politicians.

Only after Poland is fined and grants from the EU to Poland are withheld, Poland itself asks. Only now Poland finds out that also the judges at the German Federal Supreme Court are appointed by politicians, as for example Dr. Bertram Schmitt.

But the judges at the German Federal Constitutional Court are also appointed by the governing parties. Mr. von Prince sued for damages in 1990 because of the German-Polish Border Treaty. This lawsuit was not processed until the Federal Constitutional Court Act was changed, according to which lawsuits no longer have to be accepted.

Is this now a conspiracy or are all lawyers and professors idiots?

- 11 In Bavaria, the Bavarian Minister President appoints and dismisses the Minister of Justice. In 2005, the Judges and Public Prosecutors Act was enacted. According to this law, judges and civil servants are subject to the disciplinary law for soldiers. The Bavarian Minister of Justice appoints, promotes and transfers judges and public prosecutors. He issues directives/orders to public prosecutors. One and the same person changes position at the

same court from public prosecutor, then to judge and then again to public prosecutor. This is already not possible because of the different oath. Prosecutors are appointed as disciplinary superiors of judges.

- 12 Mr. von Prince has long pointed out these conditions to newspapers, trade unions, employers' associations, political parties, etc.. But these circumstances are hushed up. Is this not a conspiracy?

Is this not a conspiracy against peace?

- 13 The former Vice-Chancellor of Austria Strache was removed from office because journalists lured him into a trap. An alleged Russian oligarch promised financial compensation if Mr. Strache ensured that water rights were sold to him. Mr. Strache muses about how he made sure that disagreeable journalists at Austria's largest newspaper were fired because the Russian oligarch provided the paper with generous advertisements. In the course of the investigation, it comes to light that former Austrian Chancellor Kurz commissioned falsified surveys and a newspaper printed them. His bad luck was that he financed these survey results and publications with taxpayers' money. The Dutchman, Mr. Adamah finds out during his research on the Coronavirus that the German news magazine "Spiegel" was bought by Bill Gates with 2'000'000,-€ to report positively about vaccinations, etc..

After all, Austria is being investigated and this has consequences. One must question nevertheless whether here only to the pretense one investigates.

Already in the summer of 2020 it was known that only 0.6% of the population are seriously at risk of corona. It became apparent that children in particular are at low risk. It quickly became apparent that most did not even realize they were infected. It turned out that some people are immune to corona infection. These individuals also cannot transmit the corona virus. It does not take hold in the first place. Mr. von Prince is one of these persons. He cannot become infected with Corona patients despite intensive efforts.

Nevertheless, the Austrian Chancellor Kurz orders 40 million vaccine doses for 8'000'000 Austrians, i.e. 5 vaccine doses for each person. Every package insert stated that there is no approved vaccine and that side effects and late effects are unknown. It was and is written on the package insert that the side effects after the 2nd vaccination are greater than after the 1st vaccination.

It turned out very quickly that Ivermectin works very well. But in Austria, the sale of this long-standing and proven drug was banned by the Medical Chamber. This is a clear bodily injury on a large scale.

Now a new type of drug has been approved to treat a corona disease. It is to be used precisely for the people at risk, with a better effectiveness than vaccination.

But only 1 million tablets of it are produced.

Nevertheless, vaccination is mandatory in Austria and the FRG. Those who do not get vaccinated, for example, do not receive state aid in case of unemployment. Those who do not get vaccinated have to pay a fine. Those who cannot do so go to prison. But they are already overcrowded. Concentration camps will probably have to be set up.

In this context, a comparison of countries shows that the situation is best in those countries where the least action has been taken against Corona. For example, a comparison between Israel and the Gaza Strip. But a comparison between Austria and neighboring Switzerland also proves this. Austria closed its borders with Italy immediately after the Corona virus outbreak, while in Switzerland tens of thousands of Italians shuttled back and forth to work every day. In Austria, ski lifts were at a standstill in 2020, while everything was open in Switzerland. Only reluctantly did the Swiss participate in Corona measures. Spending on Corona measures is only half as high per capita as in Austria and Germany.

Nevertheless, all Corona restrictions have fallen in Switzerland. But Germany and Austria still have mandatory masks and mandatory vaccinations.

- 14 If one compares this with the Russian Federation, which is autocratic according to Western representations, then freedom reigns there and absolute fascism in the so-called free West.
But it would be nice if only fascism ruled. Then one would know at least what is forbidden and what is permitted.

- 15 In Switzerland, more than 130'000 people have signed a declaration that the entire state apparatus has been appropriated by the "political class" at the expense of the citizens. Judgeships are bought, which would already be punishable today. Qualification is rather a hindrance to promotion. Under the leadership of Budapest, 250 cities and towns have declared themselves Free Cities. A new Hanseatic League has formed under Danzig.

- 16 A Europe of law, freedom and security no longer exists. NATO is dominated by the Nazis.

But the Ukrainian prime minister demands admission to the EU. Admission into an empire of absolute despotism, into the empire of the Nazis. Putin wants to protect Ukrainians from this.

- 17 Why doesn't Putin demand clarification via the International Court of Justice in The Hague?

Because there, as at this Court, German Nazis are represented?

Mr. Gutierrez admits that the United Nations has not achieved its most important goal. He himself is to blame for that.

He is partly responsible for the fact that complaints to the UN Commission on Human Rights are not accepted, even for the grossest violations on a large scale.

II. INDICTMENT

- 18 **It is up to the International Court of Justice in The Hague and this Court to restore peace in the world, including in Ukraine.**

This is quite simple, can be done immediately, costs no effort and no money.

- 19 The two courts must quite simply ask the "German" judges about their true identity - see Exhibit 1.

- 20 Jointly responsible for the concretely proven war crimes is, for example, Dr. Bertram Schmitt. Dr. Schmitt is a judge at the German Federal Court of Justice, an honorary professor at the University of the German State of Bavaria in Würzburg, an ad hoc judge at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, and works for the supervisory authority Eurojust. He has written a commentary on the German Code of Criminal Procedure and is thus a decisive protagonist in the systematic restructuring of the ordre public of the Federal Republic of Germany, which is legally identical to the ordre public of the Free City of Danzig, which must be observed by the inhabitants of the FRG.

He is thus decisively jointly responsible for violating Articles 43 and 48 of the Hague IV. Convention on Land Warfare - punishable under Indictment No. 2 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials.

This inevitably leads to crimes against humanity - Indictment No. 3 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials.

21 Exemplary for further co-responsible persons
Mrs. von der Leyen,
Mr. Frank-Walter Steinmeier,
the Secretary General of Interpol Jürgen Stock,
the Acting Deputy Director-General at Eurojust Nils Behrendt,
the Vice-President of Eurojust Klaus Meyer-Cabri,
are indicted.

22 There is still an arrest warrant against Mrs. Karin Leffer because of these proceedings.
There is therefore imminent danger as also proven by the situation in Ukraine.

For this reason, only the proceedings that have already been confirmed by the courts are cited.

23 Dr. Bertram Schmitt is hereby accused of being jointly responsible for war crimes. Such as conspiracy against peace, covert war of aggression against a foreign state. Deprivation of public order, of the law of the land, of a foreign nationality, unfair trials, criminal prosecution against innocent persons, perverting the course of justice, execution against innocent persons (deprivation of liberty), expropriation without compensation, etc.. Punishable under Indictment No. 1, 2 and 3 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials, or punishable under Art. 6 b, 7 e, f, h, Art. 8 para. 2 a ii, iv, vi, vii,.

24 As an example, the following case is cited here, which describes actual war crimes and therefore imminent danger exists and this danger can be averted quite simply by a statement by Dr. Bertram Schmitt.

25 With a statement by Dr. Bertram Schmitt on his nationality and this current case, legal peace is already established in Europe and the implicit demands of Mr. Putin are met. For he only demands a peaceful Europe, a Europe based on the rule of law.

The United Nations as the legal successor to the League of Nations would be rehabilitated.
The post-war order restored.

26 There is imminent danger.

Mrs. Karin Leffer is still wanted on a warrant issued by the Coburg Regional Court. Charge: "Mrs. Karin Leffer and Mr. von Prince are the representatives of the Free City of Danzig. They spread the idea of the Free City of Danzig on the Internet. They recognize German law only in parts." Clearly, we recognize only "German" law, defined in Art. 116 of the Danzig Constitution, and not National Socialist German law. According to Art. 116 of the Constitution of the Free City of Danzig, the ordre public of the Free City of Danzig, is German law at the time of Jan. 1920. The easy to see difference is that according to Art. 116 of the Danzig Constitution, court decisions must be handed out with the judge's signature and court minutes must reflect the wording of statements. The Nazis eliminated these guarantees of the rule of law from 1933. On judicial letters "verdicts", it is certified that no judge signed. Court minutes contain only, "The witness testified." What the witness testified to, whether for or against a defendant is not recorded. When in doubt, court minutes are falsified - see lawsuit already filed.

A. Facts:

1. Germany

1.1 Mass trials involving allegations of document forgery

27 Mr. Heinemann issued Danzig identity cards, motor vehicle driver's licenses and license plates in Nov. 2009. These were first confiscated by the Coburg Public Prosecutor's Office

and then reissued and thus put back into circulation. In order to prevent any misuse, Mr. von Prince took the matter in hand and asked Mrs. Karin Leffer to ensure that all the information on the ID cards was correct. Mrs. Leffer is reliability and incorruptibility personified. Mrs. Leffer confirmed the information on the Danzig identity cards after presenting notarized certificates. As a result, in July 2011, the Coburg State Security stormed the office of Mrs. Karin Leffer. The State Protector, Mr. Kellner, is the only one to claim that a Danzig identity card is a forgery of a Federal German identity card. Why should someone who has a German identity card get a forged one? A hearing was set every week at the Coburg District Court. After consultation with Mr. von Prince, every further hearing was cancelled after the third hearing. Only after Mr. von Prince was extradited from Switzerland against his will, was everyone convicted in hastily conducted mass trials for incitement and complicity in a forgery of documents.

1.2 Indictment in violation of the European Convention on Extradition (ECE)

- 28 In order to prevent Mr. von Prince from providing counsel, a mail and visitation ban was unlawfully imposed. Even against a bail offer of €1'344'000,-/day, Mr. von Prince was not released, judgment of the Coburg Regional Court of Sept. 18, 2013, Case No. 2 Ns 118 Js 181/08. Only through hunger strikes and his legal knowledge was Mr. von Prince released from prison on Oct. 18, 2013.
- 29 The more than 100 convictions for a Danzig ID resulted in the Indictment of the Coburg Regional Court, Case No.: 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11, naming Mrs. Karin Leffer and Mr. von Prince as perpetrators.
- 30 At an appeal hearing, a lawyer asked whether Mr. Kellner recognized anything red on a Danzig ID and whether Mr. Kellner saw anything red on a German ID. Mr. Kellner conceded that he recognizes a red shield on a Danzig ID card, but does not see anything red on a German one - see Exhibit 1.3 Danzig ID card. Because Mrs. Leffer confirmed the information on the back and never accepted any money for her activities, she is still wanted on a warrant.
- 31 An acquittal was issued. The Public Prosecutor's Office appealed against this. The case was heard again at the Coburg Regional Court. Mr. Judge Amend ruled, without any evidence, that a Danzig identity card is a forgery.

1.3 Rejection of the extended extradition request

- 32 In order to cure the violations of the terms and conditions of the extradition, the Chief Public Prosecutor of the Coburg Regional Court expressly made an extended extradition request under the same case number. On March 10, 2014, the Swiss Federal Office of Justice rejected the entire extradition on the grounds that extradition was not requested for criminal offenses, but for political reasons.
- 33 Nevertheless, the proceedings 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11 were not discontinued. The proceedings 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11 is a violation of the principle of speciality (extradition is made only for the specifically authorized case). It is a violation of the general rules of international law. According to German law commentary, the criminal offense of deprivation of liberty was fulfilled. According to Swiss law commentary, Switzerland would have to sue at the International Court of Justice in The Hague. But Switzerland does not do that, why?

1.4 False accusation and 20 acquittals in this case

- 34 A document is a writing with an expression of intent confirmed by signature. An identity document proves the identity of a person. The signature on an identity document merely confirms that the passport photograph matches the description of the person.

The Criminal Code, of course, makes a precise distinction between the criminal offense of forgery of an identity document and forgery of a document. A forgery of documents pretends an expression of intent that does not exist.

- 35 In the matter of Danzig identity cards, approximately 17 German known acquittals have been issued. From the Swiss side, there are 1st class acquittals that are no longer contestable.
- 36 If a Danzig identity card were indeed a forgery of a Federal German identity card, then the matter would have been barred by the statute of limitations long ago.
But Mrs. Karin Leffer is still wanted on a warrant for forgery of documents.

1.5 A German judgment is a forgery of documents

1.5.1 because of the missing signature of the judges involved in the judgment

- 37 But in fact, a "German judgment" is a forgery of documents. It is claimed that a judgment was served in the legal meaning. But as the name implies, a deed is the document on which an expression of will is confirmed with the signature of the issuer. Copies of the deed must certify that the signature is from the maker of the deed.

Contrary to all legal regulations, it is certified that no judge has signed. This is Nazi law. Whoever acknowledges this has not rejected the nationality of the National Socialist German Reich, but has declared himself to belong to this nationality and its law.

1.5.2 because of abolition of separation of powers between the executive and the judiciary

- 38 With the fact that one and the same person is appointed to the position from prosecutor to judge and then again to judge, and prosecutors are appointed as disciplinary superiors of judges, criminal offenses such as Sections 339, Perversion of Justice - 5 years in prison, 344, Persecution of Innocent Persons - 10 years in prison, and Execution against Innocent Persons - 10 years in prison cannot be brought to trial. There is also a lack of evidence of a culpable act of willfulness if a signature is never provided on a legally relevant letter.

- 39 Dr. Bertram Schmitt also never served a judgment with his signature.
As evidence serves the letter of the Federal Court "Judgment" in the matter of Danzig ID, Indictment 1 KLS 123 Js 3979/11 – see Exhibit 1.6. This letter was submitted to the court there in Washington D.C. regarding the lawsuit of Mrs. Karin Leffer and Mr. von Prince, against the FRG, Switzerland, Belgium and the EU. Mr. Jeffrey Harris, Attorney at Law, claims that Mr. von Prince was sentenced to 8 months imprisonment, suspended, for forgery of documents. Mr. von Prince responds, "Anyone who claims he's been convicted of forgery is a cowardly backstabbing asshole, a criminal scumbag." No one feels insulted. Another lawyer replies irrelevantly.

1.5.3 because of unlawful judges

- 40 The incoming cases are not assigned to judges in accordance with the statutory provisions of Art. 101 of the Basic Law or Section 16 of the Courts Constitution Act (GVG), not even at the Federal Court of Justice, where Dr. Bertram Schmitt works. Dr. Bertram Schmitt is also an unlawful, non-permitted exceptional judge.

1.6 Deception in international legal relations by stating a false nationality

- 41 In fact, since the insertion of Section 40a in the Nationality Act of the German Reich, date of issue July 22, 1913 in 1999, a "German" identity card is no longer proof of being "German in the meaning of Article 116 of the Basic Law", but proof of nationality of the German Reich, with the *ordre public* of the German Reich as of May 1945.

1.7 Tax evasion due to false nationality

- 42 Since 1999, no national of the German Reich has been entitled to benefit from double taxation agreements. For example, the Double Taxation Agreement with the U.S. explicitly states that only "Germans within the meaning of Article 116 (1) of the German Basic Law" are entitled to benefit from the Double Taxation Agreement. With the insertion of Section 40a into the Nationality Act, "Germans in the meaning of Article 116 (1) GG" were declared to be nationals of the German Reich.
- 43 Tax evasion has been committed since 1999.
- 44 A "German" identity card has been a forgery of a Federal German FRG identity card in the meaning of the Criminal Code since 1999.

- 45 Deception in International Legal Relations is committed in order to cover up the fact that Nazi law is being applied again.

- 46 Dr. Bertram Schmitt is therefore accused of being significantly involved in the criminal offense Section 92 German Criminal Code High Treason: "Who impairs the independence of judges....". And with the other facts of unfair court proceedings, etc.

1.8 Dr. Bertram Schmitt is requested to take a stand immediately and to refute the facts mentioned and to exonerate the other Defendants or to ensure himself that the arrest warrant against Mrs. Karin Leffer is cancelled.

Anything else is an admission.

- 47 Accused of direct participation in war crimes are,
the former government lawyer of the Coburg District Office, Mrs. Engel,
the Clerk of the District Office, Mrs. Jacob,
the former Public Prosecutor of the Coburg Public Prosecutor's Office, Dr. Koch, because of his work as a public prosecutor and then as a judge at the Coburg Regional Court,
Dr. Kraus as former President of the Coburg Regional Court,
the former Judge at Coburg District Court Bauer,
the former Judge at the Coburg Regional Court, Amend.

- 48 These persons were already accused before this Court in 2008 and subsequently in 2010.

- 49 Suspected of committing acts of war as an orderer is the
former Bavarian Prime Minister Mr. Seehofer and
his successor Mr. Bavarian Prime Minister Söder,
the former Minister of Justice of Bavaria, Mrs. Merck,
the current Bavarian Minister of Justice, Mr. Georg Eisenreich,
the former Chief Public Prosecutor of the Bamberg Higher Regional Court and then
President of the Higher Regional Court Mr. Lückemann,
the former Chief Public Prosecutor of the Coburg Regional Court, then President of the
Coburg Regional Court and current President of the Bamberg Regional Court, Mr. Lohneis,
the former group leader of the Coburg Public Prosecutor's Office and current President of
the Coburg Regional Court, Mrs. Ursula Haderlein.

2. Switzerland

2.1 Joint responsibility for war crimes, deprivation of liberty and unfair judicial proceedings in Case 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11 and other case numbers:

- 50 To date, Switzerland has not compensated Mr. von Prince for the unjust imprisonment he suffered in the Case No. 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11, has not filed a complaint with the International Court of Justice in The Hague, and has not taken any other action to have the Case No. 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/22 dismissed.

Switzerland is therefore responsible for the fact that the arrest warrant against Mrs. Karin Leffer still exists.

- 51 Furthermore, Switzerland is also responsible for the fact that Mr. von Prince was deprived of his liberty for a total of 666 days under Swiss sovereignty and another 74 days under Belgian sovereignty.
- 52 It is therefore cited as another accused of war crimes, such as unfair trials and deprivation of liberty:
From the Swiss side
the President of the Swiss Canton of Aargau, Mr. Urs Hofmann,
the President of the District Court of Rheinfelden, Mr. Lüdi and
the Cantonal Police Officer of the Canton of Aargau, Mr. Roman Thut.
- 53 In the case of the "Germans", the indication of a false nationality can easily be found in the Nationality Act of the German Reich, date of issue July 22, 1913, last status, Aug. 21, 2021 with the ordre public of the National Socialist German Reich, status May 1945 – see Exhibit 1 enclosed letter to the International Court of Justice in The Hague.
- 54 In the case of the "Swiss" Defendants, they are in violation of the general rules of international law. In the present case, they have violated the neutrality of Switzerland and have involved all Swiss in a joint and several liability. They are therefore not acting as representatives of the interests of the Swiss, but de facto as agents of a hostile power.
- 55 Again, Mr. Beowulf von Prince was unlawfully detained. Even a bail offer of 1'344'000,- €/day was rejected by decision of the Coburg Regional Court of Sept. 18, 2013, Case No.: 2 Ns 118 Js 181/08. Without his legal knowledge and hunger strikes, the Prosecutor would probably still be in prison today. Thus, the Accuser had to be released from prison on Oct. 18, 2013.
- 56 In order to cure the violations of the requirements and conditions of extradition, Mr. Chief Public Prosecutor of the Coburg Regional Court expressly requested extended extradition under the same case number. By decision dated March 10, 2014, Case No. B 224'163/TMA, the Swiss Federal Office of Justice subsequently rejected the entire extradition on the grounds that extradition was not requested for criminal acts, but for political reasons. Nevertheless, to this day the criminal proceedings 1 KLS 123 Js 3979/11 are not terminated. Switzerland's sovereignty has been violated to this day.
- 57 The Accuser is entitled to damages ex officio. Therefore, the Accuser brought numerous lawsuits. The refusal of extradition was served a total of 52 times to all possible authorities. Everyone knew that the Accuser must not be extradited.

2.2 Further facts concerning the Swiss Confederation

2.2.1 Federal Supreme Court ruling containing false allegations of formally and factually incorrect complaint

- 58 Now that Mr. von Prince no longer has to fear extradition, he pursues a commercial activity. He took over the representation of a Dutch woman against the DSM Group. The contract with the Swiss subsidiary of the DSM Group was signed by the Dutch woman in Germany. According to Art. 2 of the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (sZPO), in the event of a dispute, the dispute shall not be brought before a state court, but before an arbitration tribunal in accordance with the rules of Chapter 12 of the Swiss Private International Law Act. Such

arbitration proceedings were conducted. The representative of the DSM Group, Mr. Nordmann, filed a complaint with the Swiss Federal Supreme Court consisting of 77 pages with 226 marginal figures. For formal reasons alone, this complaint should not be accepted. Only one margin note mentions the claims from the arbitration award. The main subject of the complaint is the political persecution of the Accuser.

The Accuser bought the claims against the DSM Group. As expected, the Swiss Federal Court obviously ruled wrongly that the contract was signed in Switzerland. But this is also stated in the judgment of March 09, 2016, that a judgment containing an obvious error may not be respected by any state authority.

2.2.2 Requests for bias not processed since 2016 and instead being extradited despite prohibition

59 The Accuser filed two motions for partiality, which were accepted as well-founded appeals. As a result, on April 15, 2016, the Accuser's front door was broken down by the Aargau/Switzerland Cantonal Police and the Accuser was extradited to Germany in handcuffs.

60 This was not legal simply because of the Agreement on the Free Movement of Persons. Everyone knew that the extradition of the Accuser had been explicitly refused. The Asylum Office thought that an asylum application as protection against extradition was not necessary, because no Swiss police officer would carry out an extradition on the basis of the rejection of the extradition. The refusal of extradition was presented to all authorities, a total of 52 times.

2.2.3 Illegal criminal charge of illegal residence

61 Returning to Switzerland from captivity, the Accuser was criminally prosecuted for alleged illegal residence by Rheinfelden/Switzerland District Court President Lüdi. A criminal offense that does not exist because of the Agreement on the Free Movement of Persons with Switzerland. The Accuser could not leave the country at the instigation of Switzerland without being re-arrested. In fact, the Accuser has diplomatic status and is not subject to Swiss jurisdiction.

2.2.4 Street robbery by a police force degraded to employees bound by instructions

62 Needless to say, Mr. Lüdi was rejected. While bias has not yet been decided, the Accuser was arrested during a roadside check. Accusation: illegal residence. The Accuser was not informed of this further procedure. Only by immediate payment of over 2'600,-CHF the Accuser was released.

2.2.5 Renewed arrest warrant to extradite the Accuser

63 The Accuser moved to the Canton of Appenzell-Ausserrhoden. There he was to leave the Canton again immediately. The Accuser filed a complaint against this. Although no decision has been made on this, the Accuser was again sought with an arrest warrant without being informed of this. The Accuser had instructed a lawyer to investigate. Only after a reminder did the lawyer learn that a warrant had been issued again.

3. Belgium

64 The ECJ ruled on May 27, 2019, that German public prosecutors may not issue arrest warrants because they are not independent. The same is true for the judges from Coburg and Bamberg. Therefore, the Accuser traveled to Belgium to be able to exhaust legal recourse to the EU Court of Justice from there. But the Accuser was immediately arrested and extradited.

4. New trial at the Coburg Regional Court

65 On Oct 01, 2019, the Accuser was tried. If the Accuser confessed that he was the responsible representative of the Free City of Danzig and that a Danzig identity card resembled an official document, he would be released immediately. Confessing that is not a problem. Neither is punishable and was, after all, the purpose of the exercise. The Accuser was confirmed as the responsible representative of the Free City of Danzig.

5. Lawsuit filed in District Court in Washington DC

66 Subsequently, the Accuser and Mrs. Karin Leffer filed suit in the Court in Washington, DC. First with the statement that the plaintiffs cannot have a court proceeding in the whole of Europe in which they are granted the procedural guarantees according to Article 6 of the European Human Rights Conventions. In a supplementary complaint, the plaintiffs demonstrate that the 2 + 4 Treaty has not been fulfilled to date and could not be fulfilled without the political reorganization of the Free City of Danzig.

Mr. Justice Nichols ruled that the Free City of Danzig had jurisdiction to end the World War.

The Accuser makes use of the Enemy State Clauses of the United Nations Charter and requests the expropriation of Deutsche Commerzbank in the United States. Notice is immediately given that assignments will be made to the assets of Commerzbank for the benefit of this court and that this court will be entered as partial owner.

To date, the Accuser has not received a response.

6. Submission of a Constitution of the FRG to fulfill the 2+4 Treaty

67 The Accuser submitted a First Constitution for the FRG defining the legal succession of the Free City of Danzig. There was no countersignature. Also no counter-proposal.

7. Criminal accusation " Reich German", because the implementation of the 2+4 Treaty is demanded or a constitution of the FRG

68 On the basis of the evidence, Mrs. Karin Leffer requested that the arrest warrant be repealed so that she could face a trial in freedom. This was rejected by the Bamberg Higher Regional Court on the grounds that she is a "Reich German". The Accuser therefore looks at the Nationality Act of the German Reich for the first time and discovers that he has been made a Reich German by the insertion of Section 40a into the Nationality Act.

69 Mr. von Prince was thus prosecuted for a law that violates the Hague IV. Convention on Land Warfare. He and Mrs. Karin Leffer are being prosecuted for an act that Parliament itself committed.

8. The Accuser and Mrs. Leffer, as well as the more than 100 people, are not isolated cases.

Arbitrariness is omnipresent and affects everyone sooner or later.

70 For example, the Dutch woman whom the Accuser represents vis-à-vis the DSM Group. The Dutch woman is an almost brilliant scientist. Her doctoral thesis is still cited after 20 years, 360 times so far. She worked for a German pharmaceutical company. Research on a drug was to be discontinued. The Dutch woman found out that the statistics were wrongly evaluated and remonstrated. In the future, work must be done according to the Dutch woman's method. The drug became a best seller.

71 The Dutch woman moved to the Swiss subsidiary of the DSM Group. She signed the contract in Germany with residence in Germany. The Dutch woman performed 1.55 times

- the normal output. She completed additional studies on the side and achieved twice the normal performance effortlessly, without neglecting her social contacts. Then she got the order for three Top 50 projects at once, on which the future of the DSM Group depended, as Mr. CEO Feike Sijbesma explained to the Dutch woman.
- 72 The Dutch woman realized that one Top 50 project could not be realized. She remonstrated. Nevertheless, she had to prioritize the project. But performance was also required on the other projects. Stress was created. When stressed under maximum performance, a break of 15 minutes must be taken within an hour, otherwise long-lasting performance losses occur. This is why football matches have a 15-minute break after 45 minutes of play. It was foreseeable that a loss of performance would inevitably occur. The Dutch woman suffered a performance collapse. The project against which she remonstrated was discontinued for precisely the predicted reasons.
- 73 The Dutch woman spent CHF 42'000,- of her own financial resources to recover more quickly and tested her performance by working according to her performance level. After one year, she had not recovered. The question of pension was at hand. The DSM Group informed the Invalidity Office that the Dutch woman had agreed to a reduction in work and sent an agreement to that effect. However, this has not been signed. The Dutch woman was informed that she could be dismissed immediately on a legal basis. In constant meetings she was to be coerced into signing a new agreement. She refused to do so. Finally, her salary was reduced. She had to work 80%. She spread this over the whole week and could not recover. She informed the Disability Office that she had not yet recovered.
- 74 After one year, the Dutch woman was dismissed in breach of contract. This, of course, resulted in a renewed drop in performance. The Dutch woman commissioned the Accuser to represent her. He was said to be active only in an organizational capacity. The Accuser looked for a long time for a lawyer to represent the Dutch woman. But the lawyer did not want to fully represent the Dutch woman. The Accuser filed a lawsuit for declaratory judgment, on the circumstances that led to the inability to perform. This was not accepted. Therefore, the Accuser also took over the representation of the Dutch woman in court and worked through the documents. He found the wrong communication from the DSM Group to the Invalidity Office. The Invalidity Office wrote that it had communicated that it had discontinued the invalidity proceedings. The Accuser can prove that there was no such communication. The Accuser did not find in the files of the Invalidity Office the communication of the Dutch woman that she had communicated that she had not yet recovered. But from the Dutch woman's records, the Accuser was able to prove that the Invalidity Office must have received this communication.
- 75 The invalidity proceedings were resumed
- 76 The Accuser saw that the contract with the DSM Group was signed in Germany. Thus, according to Art. 2 sZPO, it may not be applied. Arbitration proceedings must be conducted according to the 12th Chapter of the Swiss Private International Law Act. The Accuser had such arbitration proceedings carried out in accordance with the legal provisions. The representative of the DSM Group, Mr. Nordmann filed an appeal of 77 pages with 226 paragraphs with the Swiss Federal Supreme Court against the Arbitral Award of Oct. 14, 2015. Already for formal reasons, this appeal could not be accepted. Only one paragraph mentions the claims from the Arbitral Award. The main subject of the complaint is the political persecution of the Accuser.
- 77 The Accuser bought the claims against the DSM Group.
- 78 As expected, the Swiss Federal Court obviously ruled wrongly that the contract was signed in Switzerland and therefore should have been tried under the ZPO. But it is also stated in the Federal Court ruling of March 09, 2016, that a judgment containing an obvious error may not be respected by any state authority.

- 79 The Accuser filed two motions for bias, which were accepted as well-founded appeals. As a result, on April 15, 2016, the Accuser's front door was broken down by the Aargau/Switzerland Cantonal Police and the Accuser was extradited to Germany in handcuffs.
The Dutch woman suffered a shock and lost 10 kg in weight within 8 weeks. The same was true for Mrs. Leffer.
- 80 The Dutch woman was forced to take a mandatory lawyer. If she refuses, she is incapacitated, completely disenfranchised. A lawsuit is to be filed against the DSM Group. The Dutch woman is no longer a party. The mandatory attorney for the Dutch woman presented the 77-page complaint of the DSM Group to the Rheinfelden District Court as an argumentation for the Dutch woman. Thereupon, Mr. Nordmann threatened the mandatory attorney with consequences under professional law. The Dutch woman was ordered in absentia to pay 18'000,-CHF in court costs and 14'000,- costs for Mr. Nordmann. Naturally, the Dutch woman appealed. Although it has not been decided, she was coerced into paying by threats of criminal prosecution and police summons.
- 81 The Accuser filed suit against the DSM Group and the Swiss Confederation in San Francisco. The Defendants object to the jurisdiction of the court. Finally, the Dutch woman sues the Accuser in Washington. There, after all, the Accuser is already suing himself.
- 82 The Accuser arranges for a new arbitration. Within a week, the Arbitrator ruled on two unaccepted claims and 12 unprocessed bias claims. This was easy. He only had to decide whether the contract with the DSM Group was signed in Germany or in Switzerland. This also answered all other proceedings. As proof that the contract was signed in Germany, there was the envelope of the mailing of the contract with the cover letter to the contract to Germany and the confirmation of residence in Germany. There is no proof to the contrary.
- 83 The DSM Group, a defendant in the Arbitral Award, and the Swiss Confederation did not appeal the Arbitral Award of Oct. 21, 2020. The Accuser invited the Swiss tax offices to appeal the Arbitral Award to the Court in Washington. This is not happening. The Rheinfelden/Switzerland Tax Office recognizes the expenses for the court proceedings of the Dutch woman as costs deductible from income. The Accuser offsets the tax claims of the Swiss Tax Offices in Kreuzlingen and Romanshorn. There was no objection. The Accuser informed the Court in Washington D. C. that the dispute had been settled. Thereupon, the Kreuzlingen and Romanshorn Tax Offices ignored the Arbitral Award and do not want to know anything more about it.

What kind of criminal attitude is this on the part of Swiss officials and judges?

- 84 First of all, an almost brilliant scientist was negligently to grossly negligent in causing a drop in performance. According to her contract, she is entitled to full pay even if she is unable to work. So that this does not have to be paid, a disability is deliberately brought about. Finally, through further unfair legal proceedings, the Dutch woman becomes a helpless person so that the DSM Group does not have to pay its debts. This was determined in a polydisciplinary expert opinion and confirmed with an arbitral award, which was not contradicted, and then criminal officials and judges come and do not want to take note of the results.
- 85 There is bodily harm committed, lying and cheating, threats, coercion, falsification of documents, unfair court proceedings, threatening innocent people with criminal consequences and police summons in order to enforce obviously wrongfully raised claims. The supreme Swiss law is violated by allegedly Swiss judges and allegedly Swiss officials. They may be Swiss according to their identity cards. But whoever does not adhere to the highest Swiss legal norm as a judge and official cannot be Swiss. He is simply a foreign agent.

86 Once again: The case described here is of course not an isolated case. Over 130'000 Swiss confirm with their signatures that the entire state apparatus has been appropriated by the "political class" at the expense of the citizens. Judgeships are bought, which would already be a punishable offense today.

9. In order not to forget that the violations of martial law already reported in 2008 and 2010 have not been cured, they are briefly described again.

9.1 Facts:

87 Mr. Beowulf von Prince was indicted for obvious political reasons.

In the event of an indictment, the circumstances of the defendant's life should also be determined in order to ascertain the motive.

9.2 Background

88 Mr. Beowulf von Prince is a senior forestry inspector by profession. As a civil servant, he has taken an oath to uphold the Basic Law, and thus to uphold public order and international treaties. At the very beginning of his profession, Mr. Beowulf von Prince had to cope with a snowfall and subsequent bark beetle calamity. Mr. von Prince received the best of all probationary evaluations and was expected to have a stellar career in administration. But Mr. von Prince had not studied forestry for that. Mr. von Prince then had to deal with an ice rain disaster, a windthrow disaster, and one of the largest forest fires. Instead of 4 workers, Mr. von Prince had to assign up to 40 workers. In only 15 years, Mr. von Prince accomplished the job requirement of 45 years. In addition, Mr. von Prince and his wife built up an agricultural and forestry business, with two Polish limited companies. Finally, due to his job, Mr. von Prince suffered a slipped disc in his cervical spine and had to take early retirement. To expand the agricultural business, the Accuser had to build.

89 The Accuser had finally obtained a building permit before the Bavarian Administrative Court of Bayreuth. As late as 1999, the Bavarian Administrative Court of Bayreuth found that the Accuser's rights had been unlawfully violated in the denial of his building permit. To date, the Accuser has not received any compensation for damages.

90 Before the Accuser could start his agricultural construction, the neighboring agricultural development was rezoned to residential development. Therefore, under building law, the Accuser could no longer proceed with its agricultural development. Instead, the Accuser established a management consulting limited liability company. The Accuser had a large block of shares. The share prices had reached a peak. Therefore, the Accuser built an additional floor and set up all floors as condominiums. As expected, the stock prices dropped. The Accuser therefore sold a condominium as a tax-saving scheme and rented it back. The Accuser reinvested the purchase price in stock and made it available to DSL Bank. This paid off the loan for the additional house expenses.

91 Mrs. Hain asked the Accuser for the transfer of a plot of land for the use of her dogs. Mrs. Hain, wanted the property for which agricultural development had been approved and was therefore fully developed. This property was now surrounded by residential development. The Accuser agreed on the average building land price if this land was approved for residential development. Otherwise, he was to receive the cost price for the land in the amount of 16'250,-€. The Accuser received 15'000,-€, he paid back 43'000,-€.

9.3 Accusation of fraud, although a building permit had already been issued for the property

92 Thereupon, the government lawyer of the Coburg District Office, Mrs. Engel filed a criminal complaint against the Accuser for fraud under the subject: enforcement of the Forest Act: "Sold forest land as a building site." A forest is not an obstacle to build on a plot of land. It was not a forest and a building permit had already been issued. Thus, a false accusation was almost demonstratively made. Sections 339 Perverting the Course of Justice - 5 years imprisonment, 344 Persecution of Innocent Persons - 10 years imprisonment.

9.4 Falsification of court minutes

93 In the hearing on this matter on March 30, 2006 at the Coburg District Court, the court minutes were massively falsified. In any case, in "German" court hearings, no verbatim transcripts are kept, but only the following is recorded: "The witness has testified." What he has testified to is not recorded. But, for example, motions of bias already have to be recorded. The Accuser asked the question to the main prosecution witness Engel, why she makes a criminal complaint under execution of the forest law and not under subject of the building laws. Judge Bauer declined to answer this question on the grounds that it did not fit his judgment.

94 Among other things, the Accuser had objected to Judge Bauer on the grounds of bias. Among other things, this was not recorded, proven by audio recording, witnesses and press reports.

95 The Accuser requested correction of the minutes 4 times, in vain. The Accuser was sentenced to 9 months in prison, suspended. The Accuser requested a judgment for years in accordance with Sections 125, 126 of the Civil Code and Section 345 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, most recently in 2015 via the Justice of the Peace Office in Rheinfelden/Switzerland. In vain.

96 Fraud is when one obtains a financial advantage for oneself or another by deception in legal relations. Giving a plot of land at cost price does not confer a financial advantage. Agricultural development was approved for the property. Without the residential development around, an agricultural development had to be approved. Then, in any case, the land would be worth more than cost price.

97 The problem, after all, was the rezoning of the neighboring development. The municipality, the district office and the agricultural office could not provide any information as to which development should be permitted on this property because of the rezoning.

This case was already submitted to this Court in 2008 as a criminal complaint - Case No. **OTP-CR-309/08.**

9.5 Criminal accusation of fraud, although a development right for the building plot was purchased

98 The Accuser sold a building plot designated as building land. Thereupon, the Clerk of the Coburg District Office, Mrs. Engel reported the Accuser for fraud. This time: Sold building plot, although it was not developed. The municipality forbade the development over the public way. Therefore, the Accuser bought a development right and exercised the development in the only possible place. As a result, the police appeared and stopped the construction. The Accuser received a criminal complaint for trespassing. The development pipes that had already been laid were torn out.

99 The following criminal offenses were committed: Perverting the Course of Justice, False Accusation, Persecution of Innocent Persons, Fraud, and Destruction of Structures. Where the right of development is to be exercised without actually committing trespass, no one can say.

9.6 Judge Bauer's verdict was already clear beforehand

100 Mr. Judge Bauer, dropped the charge of trespass. Because he is an unlawful, unstatutory exceptional judge, he already knew that he will try the Accuser again and not only for trespass, but also for illegal possession of weapons. Before the Accuser could speak, Mr. Bauer also already knew that he would punish the Accuser for illegal possession of weapons so heavily that the trespass would not carry any weight.

9.7 Criminal charge of illegal possession of hunting weapons registered for 30 years

101 The Coburg District Office, this time Clerk Mrs. Jacob revoked the Accuser's gun owner's license. This is only possible if the Accuser has been convicted in connection with weapons. The Accuser, of course, filed an objection. Thereupon the police appeared, equipped with machine guns and confiscated the hunting weapons. The Accuser had acquired these weapons on official business in order to be able to practice his profession. In return, the Accuser received lifetime hunting rights in all Bavarian forests.

102 Even if the gun owner's permit had been rightfully revoked, the Accuser would still not be an illegal gun owner. The difference between legal gun ownership and illegal gun ownership is quite simple. Legal guns are officially registered. The Accuser's hunting weapons had been officially registered for 30 years. Illegal weapons are not officially registered. If the revocation of the gun owner's license would turn legal gun ownership into illegal gun ownership, then the authorities would have to confiscate the guns before illegal gun ownership could occur. The Accuser was sentenced to 10 months in prison.

9.8 A national of Danzig politically reorganizes the Free State of Danzig for the purpose of peacekeeping

103 The Accuser, together with Mrs. Karin Leffer and others, founded the League for the Right in order to demand German law, for example, quite simply, the judge's signature on judgments and the verbatim recording of court proceedings. For this purpose the book was published: "Do your duty - Save your existence".

In order to make clear which "German" law was meant, the Accuser, together with Mrs. Karin Leffer and others, politically reorganized the Free City of Danzig.

This was also reported to this Court under the already issued Case Number OTP-CR-309/08.

104 Who now prosecutes such systematic crimes?
Especially, as described in the present case, in international legal relations?

105 The nationality of Danzig is a cosmopolitan one. Anyone could enter without a visa to be under Danzig law. 620'000 citizens of Jewish faith used Danzig to escape. It is said that without the Free City of Danzig there would be no State of Israel.

The fact that Danzig is completely destroyed and there is still no peace treaty with the Danzigers does not limit Danzig law to a specific territory. Until a peace treaty is concluded, anyone can claim to be under Danzig law without losing his nationality.

The Dutch woman can also invoke Danzig law. Then the acts against the Dutch woman are acts of war.

106 Does this Court have jurisdiction over the nationals of the Free City of Danzig?

Then this Court is a world criminal court.

This World Criminal Court is then financed by reparations for the Free City of Danzig. As many judges will be appointed as the judges of the World Criminal Court deem necessary to judge in a timely manner.

Then the police officers will know whose judgments to execute in case of doubt.

Otherwise, police officers must first understand that arbitration takes precedence over all state courts and that they must enforce arbitration awards.

107 A policeman who refuses to enforce an arbitration award is not fulfilling his first duty to protect Danzigers and those who wish to be, is not fulfilling his first duty under international law, is betraying his country, is not a national of his country, and may not be funded by taxes.

108 Again:

At least some things are moving through the activities of the Accuser.

In 1990, because of the Accuser's lawsuit for damages because of the German-Polish Border Treaty, the Federal Constitutional Court Act was changed. No more lawsuits had to be accepted. In 2014, Section 317 of the Code of Civil Procedure was amended. Now no judgments have to be signed in civil proceedings. This proves that the Accuser was right. After pointing out the unlawful insertion of Section 40a, this section was deleted without a sound. On the hint that the Weimar Constitution has no scope, people now start discussing the Weimar Constitution. On the hint that the Catholic bishops take an oath to the wrong government, the provisions of the Weimar Constitution that were incorporated into the GG are to be deleted before the end of this legislative period.

On the hint that the Germans are suffering enormous damage from the foreign trade surpluses, the Minister of Finance won the elections because he followed the Accuser's suggestion and raised the minimum wages by 20% right away instead of 2% as before.

9.9 Once again, there is imminent danger. Dr. Bertram Schmitt is immediately available to this Court as a defendant.

109 Dr. Bertram Schmitt is requested to comment on his nationality, as detailed in the attached letter to the International Court of Justice, and on the Indictment 1 KLs 123 Js 3979/11 or the current arrest warrant of Mrs. Karin Leffer and why this arrest warrant will not be repealed so that Mrs. Leffer can face a trial in freedom.

Date

Signature